

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/609,237	Applicant(s) HOFFNAGLE ET AL.	
	Examiner Jessica T. Stultz	Art Unit 2873	

All Participants:

(1) Jessica T Stultz.

(2) Daniel Johnson.
Status of Application: ____

(3) ____

(4) ____

Date of Interview: 22 November 2004
Time: ____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

1-38

Prior art documents discussed:


None

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner called attorney to discuss cancelling the non-elected claims to place the application in condition for allowance. The attorney argued that the species restriction was improper based on the similarities between the claimed groups.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)